1	Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000)
2	Steele Hansmeier PLLC. 38 Miller Avenue, #263
3	Mill Valley, CA 94941 415-325-5900
4	blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com
5	Attorney for Plaintiff
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
7	
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
10	
11	HARD DRIVE PRODUCTIONS, INC.) No. C-11-01566 JCS
12	Plaintiff,
13	v.) [PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING DOE DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
14	DOES 1-188,) QUASH SUBPOENA
15	Defendants.
16	
17	ORDER DENYING DOE DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA
18	The Court has reviewed Doe Defendant's Motion to Quash and/or Modify Plaintiff's
19	Subpoena (DKT#20), and Plaintiff's Opposition (DKT#23) to such. As outlined more fully
20	Plaintiff's Opposition, Defendant's Motion has failed to meet its burden of showing that the subject
21	subpoena should be quashed by this Court. As such, Defendant's Motion to Quash Plaintiff's
22	Subpoena is DENIED .
2324	In terms of a protective order, though not raised by Defendant, this Court has already ordered
25	that any information disclosed to Plaintiff in response to a Rule 45 subpoena may be used by
26	Plaintiff solely for the purpose of prosecuting this litigation. Further, Plaintiff shall file any
27	
28	identifying information of this Doe Defendant under seal until this Court orders otherwise. This
	shall be sufficient protection for Defendant.
'	·

1	Third-party entities that have been, and will be, subpoened by Plaintiff must still carry on
2	with gathering and supplying Plaintiff with the information requested through its Rule 45 subpoenas
3	as fully laid out in this Court's Order Granting Plaintiff's <i>Ex Parte</i> Motion for Expedited Discovery
4	(DKT#8).
5	
6	This order disposes of Docket No. 20.
7	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9	DATED:
10	DATED: Joseph C. Spero
11	United States Magistrate Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2
	IPROPOSEDI ORDER DENYING MOTION TO OUASH SUBPOENA No. C-11-01566 JCS